

# BERNSTEIN THEOREMS FOR SPACE-LIKE GRAPHS WITH PARALLEL MEAN CURVATURE AND CONTROLLED GROWTH

YUXIN DONG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain an Ecker-Huisken type result for entire space-like graphs with parallel mean curvature.

## 1. Introduction

In 1914, Bernstein proved that the only entire minimal graph in  $R^3$  is a plane. This result was generalized to  $R^{m+1}$  for  $m \leq 7$ , and higher dimensions and codimensions under various growth conditions, see [EH], [SXW], [Wa3] and their references. In 1965, Chern [Ch] showed that the only entire graphic hypersurface in  $R^{m+1}$  with constant mean curvature must be minimal. Therefore we have the corresponding Bernstein type results for constant mean curvature hypersurfaces. Bernstein type results for submanifolds in  $R^{m+n}$  with parallel mean curvature were also obtained by some authors (cf. [HJW], [JX1] and [Do]).

In 1968, Calabi [Ca] raised a similar problem for extremal hypersurfaces in Lorentz-Minkowski space  $R_1^{m+1}$  and he proved that the Bernstein result is true for  $2 \leq m \leq 4$ . Later, Cheng and Yau [CY] extended Calabi's result to all  $m$  as follows: The only complete extremal space-like hypersurfaces in  $R_1^{m+1}$  are space-like hyperplanes. Recently, Jost and Xin [JX2] generalized this result to higher codimensional case.

On the other hand, it is important to investigate space-like constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in  $R_1^{m+1}$ , which have interest in relative theory (cf. [MT]). In [Tr], Treibergs showed that there are many entire space-like graphs with constant mean curvature besides hyperboloids. Thus Chern type result is no longer true in this case. It is known that the Gauss map of a constant mean curvature space-like hypersurface  $M$  is a harmonic map to hyperbolic space. Xin [Xi1] got a Bernstein result by assuming the boundedness of the Gauss map. Later, [XY] and [CSZ] extended this result by proving that  $M$  must be a space-like hyperplane if its Gauss image lies in a horoball in the hyperbolic space. Another natural generalization is to consider a space-like submanifold in pseudo-Euclidean space  $R_n^{m+n}$  with parallel mean curvature. In [Xi2] the author extended the previous mentioned result in [Xi1] to higher codimensional case under the same boundedness assumption on Gauss map.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C40, 58E20.

Supported by Zhongdian grant of NSFC

In this paper, we consider a space-like graphic submanifold  $M = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in R^m\}$  in  $R_n^{m+n}$  with parallel mean curvature. Since  $M$  is space-like, the induce metric  $(g_{ij}) = (\delta_{ij} - \sum_{s=1}^n f_{x_i}^s f_{x_j}^s)$  is positive definite. Set

$$*\Omega = \left\{ \sqrt{\det(I - \sum_{s=1}^n f_{x_i}^s f_{x_j}^s)} \right\}^{-1}$$

Our main result is the following:

**Theorem** Let  $M^m = (x, f(x))$  be an entire space-like graph in  $R_n^{m+n}$  with parallel mean curvataure. If the function  $*\Omega$  has growth

$$*\Omega = o(r) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

where  $r = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2}$ , then  $M$  is a space-like  $m$ -plane.

Our strategy is to establish a Chern-type result for an entire space-like graph with parallel mean curvature under the growth condition of  $*\Omega$ . Then the result follows immediately from [CY] and [JX2]. Notice that if  $n = 1$ , we have

$$*\Omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |\nabla f|^2}}$$

Therefore the growth condition of  $*\Omega$  is similar to that one given by Ecker-Husken [EH] for minimal graphic hypersurfaces in  $R^{m+1}$ . The above result may be regarded as an Ecker-Huisken type result for space-like graphs with parallel mean curvature. By calculating the quantity  $*\Omega$  of the hyperboloid, we will see that the growth condition is optimal. In [Do], the author uses a similar method to establish some Bernstein type results for submanifolds in Euclidean space with parallel mean curvature.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will generalize Chern's method [Ch] to our setting. Let  $R_n^{m+n}$  be an  $(m+n)$ -dimensional pseudo-Euclidean space of index  $n$ , namely the vector space  $R^{m+n}$  endowed with the metric

$$(1) \quad (\cdot, \cdot) = (dx_1)^2 + \cdots + (dx_m)^2 - (dx_{m+1})^2 - \cdots - (dx_{m+n})^2$$

The standard Euclidean metric of  $R^{m+n}$  will be denoted by  $(\cdot, \cdot)_E$ . For a vector  $v$  in  $R^{m+n}$ , we will use the notations  $|v|$  and  $|v|_E$  to denote the norms of  $v$  with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)_E$  respectively.

Let  $z : M^m \rightarrow R_n^{m+n}$  be a space-like immersion of an oriented  $m$ -dimensional manifold into  $R_n^{m+n}$ . We will regard  $z$  as a vector-valued function on  $M$ . Choose a local Lorentzian frame field  $\{e_1, \dots, e_m, e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{m+n}\}$  such that  $\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{m+n}\}$  is a normal frame field of  $M$ . Throught this paper, we agree with the following indices:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} &1 \leq A, B, C \dots \leq m+n \\ &1 \leq i, j, k, \dots \leq m, \quad m+1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots \leq m+n \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} dz &= \sum_A \omega_A e_A \\ de_A &= \sum_B \omega_{AB} e_B \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\{\omega_i\}$  is a dual frame field of  $\{e_i\}$  and  $\omega_\alpha = 0$  on  $M$ . The induced Riemannian metric of  $M$  is then given by  $ds_M^2 = \sum_i \omega_i^2$ . By Cartan's lemma, we have

$$(4) \quad \omega_{\alpha i} = \sum_k h_{\alpha i j} \omega_j, \quad h_{\alpha i j} = h_{\alpha j i}$$

where  $h_{\alpha i j}$  are components of the second fundamental form of  $M$  in  $R_n^{m+n}$ . The mean curvature vector of  $M$  is defined by

$$(5) \quad \vec{H} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\alpha, k} h_{\alpha k k} e_\alpha$$

If  $\nabla^\perp \vec{H} = 0$ ,  $M$  is said to have parallel mean curvature. If  $\vec{H} = 0$ ,  $M$  is called an extremal spacelike submanifold.

Now we consider a space-like graph  $M = \{ (x, f(x)) : x \in D \subset R^m \}$  in  $R_n^{m+n}$  with parallel mean curvature  $\vec{H}$ , where  $D$  is a compact domain with smooth boundary  $\partial D$ . Obviously  $H = \sqrt{-(\vec{H}, \vec{H})}$  is a nonnegative constant.

Let  $\Omega = dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^m$  be the parallel  $m$ -form on  $R_n^{m+n}$  and let  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{m+n}\}$  be an oriented Lorentzian basis of  $R_n^{m+n}$  such that  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^m$  is an oriented orthonormal basis of  $R^m$ . If  $H > 0$ , we have a global future-directed normal vector field  $e_H = H^{-1} \vec{H}$ . Therefore we may define a global  $m$ -form on  $M$  as follows:

$$(6) \quad \Phi = (m-1)! \sum_{i=1}^m d(a_1, z) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(a_{i-1}, z) \wedge d(a_i, e_H) \wedge d(a_{i+1}, z) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(a_m, z)$$

Clearly  $\Phi$  is independent of the choice of the oriented orthogonal basis  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^m$  in  $R^m$ .

For any  $p \in M$  then the differential of  $f$  is a linear map from  $R^m$  to  $R^n$ . As in [Wal], we can use singular value decomposition to find orthonormal bases  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^m$  for  $R^m$  and  $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+n}$  for  $R^n$  such that

$$(7) \quad df(a_i) = \lambda_i a_{m+i}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Notice that  $\lambda_i = 0$  if  $i > \min\{m, n\}$ . Then we have

$$(a_i, a_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad (a_i, a_\alpha) = 0, \quad (a_\alpha, a_\beta) = -\delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

Therefore we have a Lorentzian basis  $\{e_A\}$  at  $p$  given by

$$(8) \quad \left\{ e_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\lambda_i^2}} (a_i + \lambda_i a_{m+i}) \right\}_{i=1, \dots, m} \in T_p M$$

and

$$(9) \quad \left\{ e_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda_{\alpha-m}^2}} (a_\alpha + \lambda_{\alpha-m} a_{\alpha-m}) \right\}_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+n} \in T_p^\perp M$$

By definition  $*\Omega = \Omega(e_1, \dots, e_m)$ , and thus we have

$$(10) \quad *\Omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \lambda_i^2)}}$$

**Lemma 1.** *Under the above notations, we have*

$$\Phi = m! H(*\Omega) \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^m$$

where  $\omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^m$  is volume form of  $M$ .

*Proof.* Using (3), (8) and (9), we have from (6) the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= (m-1)! \sum_{i=1}^m (a_1, dz) \wedge \dots \wedge (a_{i-1}, dz) \wedge (a_i, de_H) \wedge (a_{i+1}, dz) \wedge \dots \wedge (a_m, dz) \\ &= (m-1)! \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda_1^2}} \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda_{i-1}^2}} \omega^{i-1} \wedge \left( \frac{-h_{ii}^H}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda_i^2}} \omega^i \right) \wedge \\ &\quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda_{i+1}^2}} \omega^{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda_m^2}} \omega^m \\ &= - (m-1)! (*\Omega) \left( \sum_i h_{ii}^H \right) \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^m \\ &= m! (*\Omega) H \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^m \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum_i h_{ii}^H = \langle \sum_\alpha h_{\alpha ii} e_\alpha, e_H \rangle = -mH$ . This proves the Lemma.  $\square$

We may write

$$(11) \quad \Phi = (m-1)! d\alpha$$

where

$$(12) \quad \alpha = \sum_i (-1)^{i-1} (a_i, e_H) d(a_1, z) \wedge \dots \wedge d(a_{i-1}, z) \wedge d(a_{i+1}, z) \wedge \dots \wedge d(a_m, z)$$

Applying the Stokes Theorem to (11), we get

$$(13) \quad mH \int_M (*\Omega) \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^m = \int_{\partial M} \alpha.$$

We project  $z(M)$  orthogonally into the  $m$ -plane spanned by  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^m$ . If  $z'(p)$  is the image point of  $z(p)$ ,  $p \in M$ , under this orthogonal projection, we have

$$(14) \quad z' = z + \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+n} (a_\alpha, z) a_\alpha$$

Let  $\Psi$  be a non-zero differential form on  $\partial M = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in \partial D\}$ , defined locally. Using this form, the elements of volume of  $z'(\partial M)$ ,  $z(\partial M)$  may be expressed respectively as  $P\Psi$ ,  $Q\Psi$  with  $P \geq 0$  and  $Q \geq 0$ . We write

$$(15) \quad \omega_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{i_{m-1}} = p_{i_1, \dots, i_{m-1}} \Psi$$

on  $\partial M$ .

By a direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \underbrace{dz \wedge \cdots \wedge dz}_{m-1} &= \frac{1}{(m-1)!} (\sum \omega_{i_1} e_{i_1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\sum \omega_{i_{m-1}} e_{i_{m-1}}) \\ &= \sum_i p_{1, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, m} (e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i-1} \wedge e_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_m) \Psi \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(16) \quad Q^2 = \sum_i p_{1, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, m}^2$$

Using (8), (9) and (10), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{i-1} (a_i, e_H) (a_1, dz) \wedge \cdots \wedge (a_{i-1}, dz) \wedge (a_{i+1}, dz) \wedge \cdots \wedge (a_m, dz) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{i-1} (a_i, e_H) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda_j^2}} \omega^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^{i-1} \wedge \omega^{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^m \\ (17) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{i-1} (a_i, e_H) p_{1 \dots i-1, i+1, \dots, m} \Psi \\ &= (*\Omega) \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^i \lambda_i \xi_{m+i} p_{1 \dots i-1, i+1, \dots, m} \Psi \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi_{m+k} = \langle e_H, e_{m+k} \rangle$  if  $k \leq \min\{m, n\}$  and  $\xi_{m+k} = 0$  if  $k > \min\{m, n\}$ . Obviously  $\sum_{i=1}^m \xi_{m+i}^2 \leq 1$ . Since  $|df| < 1$ , we get from (17) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$(18) \quad |R| \leq (*\Omega)Q$$

Next, we will show that if  $M$  is a space-like hypersurface, there is a nice formula relating the quantities  $P, Q$  and  $R$ . When  $n = 1$ , (12) is simplified to

$$(19) \quad \alpha = v p_{2, \dots, m}$$

where  $v = \lambda_1 / \sqrt{1 - \lambda_1^2} = \lambda_1(*\Omega)$ ; and thus

$$(20) \quad R^2 = v^2 p_{2,\dots,m}^2$$

Write  $a = a_{m+1}$ . Then (14) becomes

$$(21) \quad x' = x + (a, x)a$$

From (9) and (10), we easily derive

$$(22) \quad (a, e_i) = -\delta_{i1}v, \quad (a, e_{m+1}) = -*\Omega$$

and

$$(23) \quad a = -ve_1 + *\Omega e_{m+1}$$

To determine  $P$ , we compute  $\frac{1}{(m-1)!} \underbrace{dz' \wedge \dots \wedge dz'}_{m-1}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \underbrace{dz' \wedge \dots \wedge dz'}_{m-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \left\{ \sum_{i_1} e_{i_1} \omega_{i_1} - v \omega_1 a \right\} \wedge \dots \wedge \left\{ \sum_{i_{m-1}} e_{i_{m-1}} \omega_{i_{m-1}} - v \omega_1 a \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \sum \omega_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_{m-1}} (e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{m-1}}) - \frac{v}{(m-1)!} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq m-1} \omega_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \\ & \quad \omega_{i_{s-1}} \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \omega_{i_{s+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_{m-1}} (e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge a \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{m-1}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \sum \omega_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_{m-1}} (e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{m-1}}) + \frac{v^2}{(m-1)!} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq m-1} (-1)^{s-1} \omega_1 \wedge \\ & \quad \omega_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_{s-1}} \wedge \omega_{i_{s+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_{m-1}} (e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{m-1}}) \\ & \quad - \frac{v * \Omega}{(m-1)!} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq m-1} (-1)^{s-1} \omega_1 \wedge \omega_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_{s-1}} \wedge \omega_{i_{s+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_{m-1}} (e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \\ & \quad e_{m+1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{m-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

So the coefficient of  $\Psi$  in  $\frac{1}{(m-1)!} \underbrace{dz' \wedge \dots \wedge dz'}_{m-1}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{m-1}} p_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1}} e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{m-1}} + v^2 \sum_{1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{m-1}} p_{1i_2 \dots i_{m-1}} e_1 \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{m-1}} \\ & - (-1)^m v (*\Omega) \sum_{i_2 < \dots < i_{m-1}} p_{1i_2 \dots i_{m-1}} e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{m-1}} \wedge e_{m+1} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
P^2 &= \sum_{1 < i_1 < \dots < i_{m-1}} p_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1}}^2 + (1 + v^2)^2 \sum_{1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{m-1}} p_{1i_2 \dots i_{m-1}}^2 \\
&\quad - v^2 (*\Omega)^2 \sum_{1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{m-1}} p_{1i_2 \dots i_{m-1}}^2 \\
&= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{m-1}} p_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1}}^2 + v^2 \sum_{1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{m-1}} p_{1i_2 \dots i_{m-1}}^2 \\
&= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{m-1}} p_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1}}^2 + v^2 \left( \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{m-1}} p_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1}}^2 - p_{2 \dots m}^2 \right) \\
&= (1 + v^2) Q^2 - R^2
\end{aligned}$$

since  $(e_{m+1}, e_{m+1}) = -1$  and  $v^2 - (*\Omega)^2 = -1$ . Thus we have

$$(24) \quad P^2 + R^2 = (*\Omega)^2 Q^2$$

### 3. Bernstein-Type Theorems

In this section, we take  $D = \{x \in R^m : \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 \leq r\}$ . As before, let

$$M = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in D\}$$

be a space-like graph in  $R_n^{m+n}$  with parallel mean curvature.

**Lemma 1.** *On  $\partial M$ , we have*

$$(25) \quad Q \leq P$$

*In particular, if  $n = 1$ , i.e.,  $M$  is a space-like hypersurface, then we have*

$$(26) \quad Q \leq \sqrt{(*\Omega)^{-2} + |df(\eta_m)|^2} P$$

*where  $|df(\eta_m)|$  may be regarded as the radial singular value of the map  $f$ .*

*Proof.* Choose an orthonormal basis  $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\}$  at  $q \in \partial D$  in  $R^m$  such that  $\eta_m$  is a normal vector of  $\partial D$ . We have the corresponding tangent vectors of the graph  $M$  at  $(q, f(a))$

$$\xi_i = (\eta_i, df(\eta_i)), \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

It is easy to see

$$(27) \quad |\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_m| = (*\Omega)^{-1}$$

and

$$(28) \quad |\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{m-1}| = Q/P$$

Write

$$\xi_i = \widetilde{\eta}_i + \widetilde{df(\eta_i)}$$

where  $\widetilde{\eta}_i = (\eta_i, 0)$  and  $\widetilde{df(\eta_i)} = (0, df(\eta_i))$ . Therefore

$$|\xi_i|^2 = |\widetilde{\eta}_i|_E^2 - |\widetilde{df(\eta_i)}|_E^2 = 1 - |\widetilde{df(\eta_i)}|_E^2 \leq 1$$

and thus

$$(29) \quad |\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{m-1}| \leq 1$$

From (28) and (29), we have (25).

Now assume that  $n = 1$ . Obviously  $\xi_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ , and  $\widetilde{f(\eta_m)}$  are tangent to the cylinder

$$C_D = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{m+n}) \in R^{m+n} : \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 = r\}$$

and the horizontal vector  $\widetilde{\eta_m}$  is orthogonal to  $C_D$  at the point  $(q, f(q))$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (*\Omega)^{-2} &= |\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_m|^2 \\ &= |\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{m-1} \wedge \widetilde{\eta_m} + \xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{m-1} \wedge \widetilde{df(\eta_m)}|^2 \\ &= |\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{m-1} \wedge \widetilde{\eta_m}|^2 + |\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{m-1} \wedge \widetilde{df(\eta_m)}|^2 \\ &= |\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{m-1} \wedge \widetilde{\eta_m}|^2 + |\widetilde{\eta_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widetilde{\eta_{m-1}} \wedge \widetilde{df(\eta_m)}|^2 \\ &\geq |\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{m-1}|^2 - |\widetilde{df(\eta_m)}|_E^2 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$Q^2/P^2 = |\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{m-1}|^2 \leq (*\Omega)^{-2} + |\widetilde{df(\eta_m)}|_E^2$$

This gives (26).  $\square$

We recall the following

**Theorem A.** ([CY], [JX]) *Let  $M$  be an extremal space-like  $m$ - submanifold in  $R_n^{m+n}$ . If  $M$  is closed with respect to the Euclidean topology, then  $M$  has to be a space-like  $m$ -plane.*

*Remark.* Obviously, an entire graph is closed with respect to the Euclidean topology. Hence we know that any entire extremal space-like graph must be a space-like  $m$ -plane.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $M^m = (x, f(x))$  be an entire space-like graph in  $R_n^{m+n}$  with parallel mean curvature. If  $*\Omega$  has the following growth*

$$(30) \quad *\Omega = o(r) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

where  $r = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2}$ , then  $M$  is a space-like  $m$ -plane.



*Proof.* Let  $M_r = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in D_r \subseteq R^m\}$ , where  $D_r$  denotes the closed ball of radius  $r$  centered at the origin in  $R^m$ . From (13), (18) and Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} mH \int_{M_r} (*\Omega)\omega^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^m &\leq \int_{\partial M_r} R\Psi \\ &\leq \int_{\partial M_r} *\Omega P\Psi \\ &\leq \sup_{\partial D_r} \{*\Omega\} Vol(\partial D_r) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$mH Vol(D_r) \leq \sup_{\partial D_r} \{*\Omega\} Vol(\partial D_r)$$

Thus

$$H \leq C \frac{\sup_{\partial D_r} \{*\Omega\}}{r}$$

where  $C$  is a universal constant. Let  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that  $H \equiv 0$ . Hence we may complete the proof by Theorem A.  $\square$

For space-like hypersurfaces, we may give a more delicate growth condition to ensure the above result.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $M^m = (x, f(x))$  be an entire space-like hypersurface in  $R_1^{m+1}$  with constant mean curvature. If*

$$\sup_{\partial D_r} \{|df(\eta_m)|_E * \Omega\} = o(r)$$

where  $r = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2}$ , then  $M$  is a space-like  $m$ -plane.

*Proof.* From (13), (25) and Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} mH \int_{M_r} (*\Omega)\omega^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^m &\leq \int_{\partial M_r} R\Psi \\ &\leq \int_{\partial M_r} \sqrt{(*\Omega)^2 Q^2 - P^2} \Psi \\ &\leq \int_{\partial M_r} |df(\eta_m)|_E (*\Omega) P\Psi \\ &\leq \sup_{\partial D_r} \{|df(\eta_m)|_E * \Omega\} Vol(\partial D_r) \end{aligned}$$

By the same argument as in Theorem 1, we prove the proposition.  $\square$

Let's consider a typical example of space-like graphs in  $R_1^{m+1}$  with constant mean curvature.

**Example 1.** The hyperboloid is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{-1}^m &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \in R_1^{m+1} : \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 - x_{m+1}^2 = -1, x_{m+1} \geq 0\} \\ &= \{(x, f(x)) : f = \sqrt{1 + \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2}, x \in R^m\} \end{aligned}$$

By a direct computation, we have

$$(31) \quad * \Omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |\nabla f|^2}} = \sqrt{1 + \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2} = O(r)$$

From (31), we see that the growth condition in Theorem 1 is optimal.

**Theorem B.** ([XY], [CSZ]) *Let  $M^m = (x, f(x))$  be a complete space-like hypersurface in  $R_1^{m+1}$  with constant mean curvature. If the image of the Gauss map  $\gamma : M \rightarrow H^m(-1)$  lies in a horoball in  $H^m(-1)$ , then  $M$  must be a space-like hyperplane.*

It is known that every complete spacelike hypersurface in  $R_1^{m+1}$  is spatially entire (cf. [AM]). To compare Theorem 1 with Theorem B, we hope to find the equivalent restriction on the function  $*\Omega$ , if the image of  $\gamma$  lies in a horoball.

Let  $M = (x, f(x))$  be a space-like graphic hypersurface in  $R_1^{m+1}$ . Its Gauss map  $\gamma$  is given by

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma : M &\longrightarrow H_{-1}^m \\ x &\longmapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |\nabla f|^2}}(f_{x_1}, \dots, f_{x_m}, 1) = *\Omega(f_{x_1}, \dots, f_{x_m}, 1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $H_{-1}^m$  is the hyperboloid endowed with the induced metric from  $R_1^{m+1}$ . Obviously the Gauss image of  $M$  is bounded in  $H_{-1}^m$  if and only if  $*\Omega$  is bounded. This also holds true for higher codimensional case (cf. [Xi2]).

It is easier to use the upper half-space model  $H^m$  of the hyperbolic space for describing horoballs. We consider the following maps

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} h_1 : H_{-1}^m &\longrightarrow B^m \\ (x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}) &\longmapsto \left( \frac{x_1}{1 + x_{m+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_m}{1 + x_{m+1}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} h_2 : B^m &\longrightarrow H^m = \{(y_1, \dots, y_m) \in R^m : y_m > 0\} \\ p &\longmapsto 2 \frac{p - p_0}{|p - p_0|^2} - (0, \dots, 0, 1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $p_0 = (0, \dots, -1)$  and  $H^m$  is endowed with the metric  $g = y_m^{-2}(dy_1^2 + \dots + dy_m^2)$ . The set  $\{(y_1, \dots, y_m) \in H^m : y_m > c > 0\}$  for any positive constant  $c$  is a horoball in

$H^m$ . It is known that  $h_2 \circ h_1 : H_{-1}^m \rightarrow H^m$  is an isomorphism. From (32), (33) and (34), we may get the  $m$ -th component of  $h_2 \circ h_1 \circ \gamma$  as follows:

$$(h_2 \circ h_1 \circ \gamma)_m = \frac{1}{(1 + f_{x_m}) * \Omega}$$

So the condition  $y_m > c > 0$  is equivalent to

$$(35) \quad (1 + f_{x_m}) * \Omega < \frac{1}{c}$$

Note that  $f_{x_m}$  may be replaced by any  $f_{x_i}$  or  $v(f)$  which denotes the derivative in any fixed unit direction  $v$  in  $R^m$ . Obviously, if there exists a sequence of points  $\{p_k\}$  such that  $*\Omega(p_k) \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $(f_{x_m})(p_k) \rightarrow -1$ . Therefore (35) implies that all ‘bad singular directions’ approach one direction, i.e.,  $\partial/\partial x_m$ .

Since  $*\Omega = (\sqrt{1 - |\nabla f|^2})^{-1}$ , we see that the growth condition in Theorem 1 is very much like that one given by Ecker-Huisken in [EH] for a minimal graphic hypersurface in the Euclidean space  $R^{m+1}$ . Hence Theorem 1 may be regarded as an Ecker-Huisken type result.

**Acknowledgments:** The author would like to thank Professors C.H. Gu and H.S. Hu for their constant encouragement and helpful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [AM] Alias, L., Mira, P., *On the Calabi-Bernstein theorem for maximal hypersurfaces in the Lorentz-Minkowski space*, Proc. of the meeting, Lorentzian Geometry-Benalmadena 2001, Benalmadena, Malaga, Spain, Pub. de la RSME, Vol. 5, 23-55 (2003).
- [Ca] Calabi, E., *Examples of Bernstein problems for some nonlinear equations*, Proc. Symp. Pure math. 15, 223-230 (1970).
- [Ch] Chern, S.S., *On the curvature of a piece of hypersurface in Euclidean space*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg, 29 (1964).
- [CSZ] Cao, H.D., Shen, Y., Zhu, S.H., *A Bernstein theorem for complete spacelike constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in Minkowski space*, Calc. Var. and PDE. 7, 141-157(1998).
- [CY] Cheng, S.Y., Yau, S.T., *Maximal spacelike hypersurfaces in Lorentz-Minkowski space*, Ann. of Math. 104, 407-419 (1976).
- [Do] Dong, Y.X., *On graphic submanifolds with parallel mean curvature in Euclidean space*, to appear.
- [EH] Ecker, K., Huisken, G., *A Bernstein result for minimal graphs of controlled growth*, J. Diff. Geom. 31(2), 397-400 (1990).
- [HJW] Hildebrandt, S., Jost, J., Widman, K.O., *Harmonic mappings and minimal submanifolds*, Invent. Math. 62, 269-298 (1980).
- [JX1] Jost, J., Xin, Y.L., *Bernstein type theorems for higher codimension*, Calc. Var. PDE. 9(4), 277-296 (1999).
- [JX2] Jost, J., Xin, Y.L., *Some aspects of the global geometry of entire space-like submanifolds*, Result Math. 40, 233-245 (2001).
- [MT] Marsden, J., Tipler, F., *Maximal hypersurfaces and foliations of constant mean curvature in general relativity*, Phys. Rev. Lett, (1980).
- [SWX] Smoczyk, K., Wang, G.F., Xin, Y.L., *Bernstein type theorems with flat normal bundle*, Calc. Var. P.D.E. 26(1), 57-67 (2006).
- [Tr] Treibergs, A.E., *Entire space-like hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in Minkowski space*, Invent. math. 66, 39-56 (1982).

- [Wa1] Wang, M.T., *On graphic Bernstein type results in higher codimension*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355(1), 265-271, (2003).
- [Wa2] Wang, M.T., *Stability and curvature estimates for minimal graphs with flat normal bundles*, arXiv: DG/0411169, Nov. 11, (2004).
- [Wa3] Wang, M.T., *Remarks on a class of solutions to the minimal surface system*, Contemp. Math., 367, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 229-235, (2005).
- [Xi1] Xin, Y.L., *On Gauss image of a spacelike hypersurface with constant mean curvature in Minkowski space*, Comm. Math. Helv. 66, 590-598 (1991).
- [Xi2] Xin, Y.L., *A rigidity theorem for a space-like graph of higher codimension*, manuscripta math. 103(2) (2000), 191-202.
- [XY] Xin, Y.L., Ye, R.G., *Bernstein-type theorems for space-like surfaces with parallel mean curvature*, J. reine angew. Math. 489, 189-198 (1997).

Institute of Mathematics  
 Fudan University, Shanghai 200433  
 P.R. China  
 And  
 Key Laboratory of Mathematics  
 for Nonlinear Sciences  
 Ministry of Education  
 yxdong@fudan.edu.cn